

09/557,164

- 10 -

REMARKS

Applicant notes with appreciation the allowance of claims 1-17, 31-47 and 65. However, it is noted that Claims 31 and 32 were rejected in paragraph 2 at the bottom of page 4 of the Office Action and that claims 48 and 49, dependent on allowable claim 33, were not rejected. Claim 66 was also rejected at page 2. Accordingly, it is believed that the Examiner's listing was a typographical error and that in fact the allowable claims are 1-17, 33-49 and 65. The remaining claims have been cancelled in order to expedite the issuance of the allowed claims. Applicant reserves the right to file the cancelled claims in a continuation application.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is believed that all claims are in condition for allowance, and it is respectfully requested that the application be passed to issue. If the Examiner feels that a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this case, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.

By 

James M. Smith

Registration No. 28,043

Telephone: (978) 341-0036

Facsimile: (978) 341-0136

Concord, MA 01742-9133

Dated: 8/2/06